

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/764,004	ORTT, EARL M.	
	Examiner Joseph Waks	Art Unit 2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph Waks. (3) _____.
 (2) W.R. Duke Taylor. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 December 2002.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: An amendment to claim1 was proposed to include the definition of the reinforcing member.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 JOSEPH P. WAKS
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Group Art Unit: 2834
Examiner: Joseph Waks

Serial No. 09/764,004

Inventors: Earl M. Ortt

Filing Date: January 17, 2001

**Title: Anchoring System For Injection Molded Magnets
On A Flux Ring Or Motor Housing**

Attorney Docket No. 0275D-000299/CPA

PROPOSED CLAIM FOR INTERVIEW

1. (Amended) A flux ring, comprising:

an annular housing;

at least one molded magnet received on said housing; and

an anchor on said housing retaining said at least one magnet on
said annular housing, said anchor unitarily formed with said housing and
including a bend and a reinforcing member positioned at said bend, said
reinforcing member enhancing strength of said anchor to resist deformation
during molding.